

Proposal Title :	Planning Proposal - Mannering F	Park - 355 Ruttleys Road - Lo	ot 1 DP 603830
Proposal Summary :		n 2013 and include the site o	ditional Permitted Uses" within the on the Additional Permitted Uses te.
PP Number :	PP_2014_WYONG_003_00	Dop File No :	14/06969
Proposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	15-May-2014	LGA covered :	Wyong
Region :	Hunter	RPA :	Wyong Shire Council
State Electorate :	SWANSEA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Street: 350	) Ruttleys Road		
Suburb : Ma	nnering Park City :		Postcode : 2259
Land Parcel : Lot	1 DP 603830		
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Ben Jones		
Contact Number :	0243485003		
Contact Email :	ben.jones@planning.nsw.gov.au		
RPA Contact Detai	ils		
Contact Name :	Chris Ferry		
Contact Number :	0243505171		
Contact Email :	FERRYC@wyong.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :			
Contact Number :			
Contact Email :			
Land Release Data	I		
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	

4

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	3
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with	Νο		a .
registered lobbyists?;			
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
	an access road to Vales Point por previously occupied by the Newc current occupier. The site has rec which are prohibited uses under the potentially dangerous given the p entrance is located on a sweeping development would be more suite an appropriate land use and Cour it appears that Council is opting for Permitted Uses over a rezoning, to larger surrounding SP2 zone. How for electricity generation. The PP later).	astle Mines Rescue Station a ently been used for a range he current zoning. Due to th roximity to the existing inter j bend, Council considers a j bend, Council considers a j bend, Council considers a j bend, Council considers a id to the site. A self storage f icil is seeking to formalise th or inclusion of the site in Sci o avoid an anomalously zone vever the zone remains anon	and was then sold on to the of automotive activities e access to the site being resection and the fact that the low traffic generating facility was determined to be his specific land use on site. hedule 1 of the Additional ed parcel of land within the nalous as the site is not used
Notes :			·
dequacy Assessment			10 mm
Statement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the obj	ectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The statement of objectives is Preparing Planning Proposals" Council is not proposing to cha	. However the reference to re	
Explanation of provis	sions provided - s55(2)(b)		
ls an explanation of prov	isions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The explanation of provisions i Preparing Planning Proposals" This section should be updated Schedule 1 of the WLEP.		

If No, explain :       Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.         flapping Provided - s55(2)(d)       Is mapping provided? Yes         Comment :       The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation.         community consultation - s55(2)(e)       Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         dditional Director General's requirements? No       If Yes, reasons :         verall adequacy of the proposal       Verall adequacy of the proposal	Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)	
* May need the Director General's agreement * May need the Director General's agreement 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 4.1 Acid Suifate Soils 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.3 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions Is the Director General's agreement required? e) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation. Community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.	a) Has Council's strateg	gy been agreed to by the D	irector General? <b>Yes</b>
* May need the Director General's agreement 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 4.1 Ack Sulfate Solis 4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions Is the Director General's agreement required? o Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No d) Which SEPP's have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land e) List any other maters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.  Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. The areain any included with the proposal outple benefit from a decrease in scale to allow fo surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : Appring additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :  werall adequacy of the proposal	b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements         6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes         6.2 Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No         d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?       SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land         e) List any other matters that need to be considered :       SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land         Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No       If No, explain :         Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.       Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)         Is mapping provided? Yes       Server LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation.         community consultation - s55(2)(e)       Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         codditional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :       Ker there any additional Director General's requirements? No			<ul> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.3 Heritage Conservation</li> <li>2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas</li> <li>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land</li> </ul>
e) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No If No, explain : Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported. Additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Period adequacy of the proposal			6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes
d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land   e) List any other matters that need to be considered :   Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No   If No, explain : Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.   Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)   Is mapping provided? Yes   Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. The aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in Identifying the location of the si during community consultation - s55(2)(e)   Has community consultation been proposed? Yes   Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.   Additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :   Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :	Is the Director Gener	ral's agreement required?	
<ul> <li>e) List any other matters that need to be consistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No</li> <li>If No, explain : Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.</li> <li>Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)</li> <li>Is mapping provided? Yes</li> <li>Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation.</li> <li>Community consultation been proposed? Yes</li> <li>Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.</li> <li>Additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :</li> <li>Averall adequacy of the proposal</li> </ul>	c) Consistent with Stand	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : <b>No</b>
matters that need to be considered :         Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No         If No, explain :       Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.         Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)         Is mapping provided? Yes         Comment :       The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation.         Community consultation - s55(2)(e)         Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         Viditional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons :         Verall adequacy of the proposal	d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land
If No, explain :       Further discussion of the consistencies with SEPPs and s117 directions is provided later in this report.         Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)       Is mapping provided? Yes         Comment :       The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the siduring community consultation.         Community consultation - s55(2)(e)         Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         Additional Director General's requirements? No         If Yes, reasons :       Werall adequacy of the proposal	matters that need to be considered :	ith items a) h) and d) being	a adequately justified? <b>No</b>
Iater in this report.         Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)         Is mapping provided? Yes         Comment :       The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation.         Community consultation - s55(2)(e)         Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         Additional Director General's requirements? No         If Yes, reasons :         Werall adequacy of the proposal			
Is mapping provided? Yes Comment : The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow fo surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si during community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported. Additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the proposal			of the consistencies with our rained and a ray directions is provided
Comment :       The "Additional Permitted Uses Map" provided shall be updated to be consistent with Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. Th aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the siduring community consultation.         Community consultation - s55(2)(e)         Has community consultation been proposed? Yes         Comment :       A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.         Additional Director General's requirements? No         If Yes, reasons :         Prerail adequacy of the proposal	Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Council's current LEP maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. The aerial map included with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for surrounding context to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the si- during community consultation. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported. A dditional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of the proposal	Is mapping provided? Y	es	
Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Deverall adequacy of the proposal	Comment :	Council's current LE aerial map included surrounding context	P maps and the department's mapping guideline requirements. The with the proposal could benefit from a decrease in scale to allow for to be seen, which would assist in identifying the location of the site
Comment : A period of 14 days community consultation has been proposed. This is supported. Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Deverall adequacy of the proposal	Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Additional Director General's requirements Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal	Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes	
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? <b>No</b> If Yes, reasons : <b>Overall adequacy of the proposal</b>	Comment :	A period of 14 days o	community consultation has been proposed. This is supported.
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal	Additional Director 0	General's requirement	ts
If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of the proposal	Are there any additional	Director General's require	ments? <b>No</b>
	If Yes, reasons :		
	)verall adequacy of	the proposal	
Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes			
	Does the proposal meet If No, comment :	the adequacy criteria? Yes	S

### Proposal Assessment

#### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation to Principal LEP :

## **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planning proposal :

The site is no longer used for electricity generation and therefore the current zone is redundant. Rather than put forward an alternative zone, Council proposes to add an "additional permitted use".

The Planning Proposal would benefit from further analysis of other alternatives including why other zones are not appropriate and whether any other uses could be compatible with the site.

Council should also confirm whether any other parcels zoned SP2 (Electricity Generation) are in private ownership and, if so, consider addressing these in the same Planning Proposal.

Consistency with	Central Coast Regional Strategy (CCRS)
strategic planning framework :	
ITAITIEWOIK .	The proposal is consistent with the CCRS as it will promote economic and employment
	growth in the region and may increase levels of employment self containment. Although
	not located in a centre, this type of development is low impact and suitable in its current
	location.
	North Wyong Shire Structure Plan (NWSSP)
	Although the site has not been identified in the NWSSP as a proposed employment area,
	the proposal will help achieve the employment targets of the NWSSP. For this reason the
	proposal is consistent with this plan.
	Local Strategies
	Council has indicated the proposal is consistent with its Community Strategic Plan.
	State Environmental Planning Policies
	SEPP 55 Remediation of Land – Council has indicated that a preliminary desktop mapping
	/ assessment exercise has not identified any contamination of the site and testing for Acid
	Sulphate Soil (ASS) has been undertaken which found no ASS on site. Historically, the site
	has been part of lands owned by the nearby Power Station, Newcastle Mines Rescue and
	most recently, used for automotive uses including car repairs and tyre fitting.
	Due to these historic uses, there is a possibility of site contamination. Further
	investigation carried out in accordance with the contaminated land planning guidelines
	should be undertaken, so that Council can demonstrate compliance with SEPP 55.
	The RPA has identified no other relevant SEPPs.
	S117 Directions
	The PP is consistent with the relevant s117 directions except the following which require
	further discussion. Should further work or consultation outlined below identify an
	inconsistency, Council should seek the agreement of Planning and Environment to the
	inconsistency as per the terms of that direction.
	4.2 Mine Subsidence & Unstable land
	The proposal is located within a mine subsidence district. Consultation with the Mine
	Subsidence Board shall be undertaken prior to public exhibition of the PP. Consistency
	with this direction shall be reviewed and updated following the consultation process.
	4.4 Planning for Bush Fire Protection
	The site has been identified as bush fire prone land and as such consultation with the
	Rural Fire Service (RSF) is required before consistency with this direction can be
	determined. The PP should be updated following the consultation process to take into
	account any comments made by the RFS.
nvironmental social	The full extent of environmental impacts of the project will not be fully known until
conomic impacts :	consultation has been undertaken with the RFS. However the site is not located on flood
	prone land and ASS are not present on site. The site is not located in a biodiversity
	corridor and contains no environmentally sensitive lands. It is expected that the
	environmental impact of the proposal will be low and localised to the site.
	The DD will have a clight pocitive cooled and economic impact with additional events
	The PP will have a slight positive social and economic impact with additional employment opportunities for the region.

ssessment Proces	SS		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	6 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Delta Electricity Mine Subsidence Board NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads a	nd Maritime Services	
ls Public Hearing by th	e PAC required? No		
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ? Yes		
f no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : <b>No</b>		
f Yes, reasons :			
dentify any additional s	studies, if required. :		
f Other, provide reasor	ns :		
dentify any internal cor	nsultations, if required :		
	on required		

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_covering letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Attachment 1-4.pdf	Мар	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Attachment 5.pdf	Proposal	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Attachment 6.pdf	Proposal	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Attachment 7.pdf	Proposal	No
2014-04-10 Wyong Shire Council to P&I - Planning Proposal re 355 Ruttleys Road, Mannering Park_Attachment 8.pdf	Study	No

Planning Team Recommendation				
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions			
S.117 directions:	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones			
	2.3 Heritage Conservation 2.4 Recreation Vehicle Areas			
	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates			
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Solls			
	4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land			
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection			
	5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies			
	6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements			
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes			
	6.3 Site Specific Provisions			
Additional Information	1. Prior to commencing public exhibition, Council is to:			
	<ul> <li>update the "Statement of Objectives or Intended Outcomes" to remove references to</li> </ul>			
	references to "rezoning"; and			
	• update draft "Additional Permitted Uses" map(s) to be consistent with the Standard			
	Technical Requirements for LEP maps; and			
	<ul> <li>update the planning proposal with discussion on alternative zones considered and</li> </ul>			
	why they have not been selected, consideration of other potential permissible uses for			
	the site and, if relevant, any other privately owned land in the vicinity that also has a			
	redundant SP2 zoning.			
12	0 Deien te undertelden multile exhibition. Coursell is to undete the elevation provided to			
	2. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to update the planning proposal to include sufficient additional information to adoptative demonstrate consistency or institu-			
	include sufficient additional information to adequately demonstrate consistency or justify any inconsistency with s117 Directions:			
	<ul> <li>4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land; and</li> </ul>			
	4.4 Bushfire Prone Land.			
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of			
	the EP&A Act and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant s117 Directions:			
	<ul> <li>Mine Subsidence Board (4.2 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land)</li> </ul>			
	Rural Fire Service (4.4 Bush Fire Prone Land)			
	Delta Electricity			
	<ul> <li>Transport for NSW – Roads and Maritime Services</li> </ul>			
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal. Once the consultation is undertaken with the public authorities, and information is provided, Council is to update its consideration of S117 Directions.			
	4. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:			
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).			
	5. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any			

	obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 6. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.		
Supporting Reasons :	*		
signature:	& Mofkin		
ngnataro.			
rinted Name:	GHOPKINS Date: 21.5.19.		